

FILED

MAR 10 2006

NOT FOR PUBLICATION
UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

SURUJ NARAYAN,

Petitioner,

v.

ALBERTO R. GONZALES, Attorney
General,

Respondent.

Nos. 05-72597, 05-74558

Agency No. A77-378-762

MEMORANDUM^{*}

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted March 6, 2006^{**}

Before: BEEZER, T.G. NELSON, and BEA, Circuit Judges.

A review of the record and petitioner's response to the court's order to show cause indicates that the questions raised in these consolidated petitions for review are so insubstantial as not to require further argument because petitioner's motion

^{*} This disposition is not appropriate for publication and may not be cited to or by the courts of this circuit except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

^{**} This panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

05-72597

to reopen was filed more than three years too late and the record indicates that petitioner did not exercise due diligence. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 1003.2(b)(2); *Socop-Gonzales v. INS*, 272 F.3d 1176, 1178 (9th Cir. 2001) (en banc); *United States v. Hooton*, 693 F.2d 857, 858 (9th Cir. 1982) (per curiam) (stating standard).

Accordingly, we summarily deny these consolidated petitions for review.

All pending motions are denied as moot.

DENIED.